# EAST AREA COMMITTEE MEETING – 9<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY 2012

## Pre-Committee Amendment Sheet

## PLANNING APPLICATIONS

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 11/1321/FUL

Location: 129-131 Vinery Road

Target Date: 29<sup>th</sup> December 2011

To Note:

In paragraph 8.28 of the Committee Report it was explained that the issues not yet addressed would be reported on the Amendment Sheet. These issues are the lack of appropriate consultation by the developer; and the maintenance of the land that will become the visibility splay.

In response to the concern raised in the representations received that there has not been appropriate consultation by the developer, the applicant's agent has commented as follows:

"It is not correct to claim that there has not been consultation on this application. As was clarified at the recent Development Control Forum, the applicant met with a selection of Vinery Park residents at a point in time whilst the application was under preparation. Whilst the final scheme as submitted had evolved a little since that meeting, it was fundamentally the same scheme, and subsequent post-submission amendments dealing with the boundary treatment between Vinery Park and Vinery Road and the refuse arrangements for some of the Vinery Park houses now means that the status quo will apply"

The area of land that will become the visibility splay will be transferred into the ownership of one of the dwellings, which is likely to be plot 3, the house closest to it.

A representation was omitted from the Committee Report in error (48 Vinery Park). The issues raised in this representation have been addressed in the report.

The comments received from the applicant's agent are attached to the Amendment Sheet as Appendix 1.

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 11/1432/FUL

Location: 13-14 Mercers Row

<u>Target Date:</u> 30<sup>th</sup> January 2012

To Note:

#### Amendments To Text:

8.8 I note that the draft NPPF is a material consideration in this matter. The draft NPPF recommends that particular land uses should not be protected in the long term. However, in light of the additional evidence in the Employment Land Review 2008 and Cambridge Cluster Study 2011 which shows that a significant area of land within Use Class B1(c), B2 and B8 has been lost to other uses in the last 10 years. There remains a strong justification for protected land in this use in the context of Cambridge. There is demand for such uses and land as the two studies show. The fact that this site has been vacant for a period of time is not evidence that the land is not required for B1(c), B2 or B8 use. No evidence has been provided to show what marketing of the land has taken place; it is unclear why it has remained unused.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

### DECISION:

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: 14c APPLICATION REF: Enforcement Report

Location: land to the rear of 7-9 Mill Road / 1a Willis Road

Target Date:

<u>To Note</u>: Additional papers: Memo containing comments from Conservation Officer Letter and photographs provided by developer, Dennis Whitfield

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

### DECISION: